

Message

From: Davies, Lynne [Davies.Lynne@epa.gov]
Sent: 10/11/2016 8:10:31 PM
To: Clark, Jacqueline [clark.jacqueline@epa.gov]; Duchovnay, Andrew [Duchovnay.Andrew@epa.gov]; Reinhart, Roger [Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov]; Johnson, KarenD [Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: DuPont follow-up

Hi guys – more questions are flowing our way about using other authorities to address PFOA contamination.

Have any of you seen the Statement of Basis (proposed) by WV? We need to address Rosemarie's questions. I'm reading it now, but if you know the history behind this document (or know who does), that would be helpful.

Thanks,
Lynne

From: Kelley, Rosemarie
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 3:53 PM
To: Davies, Lynne <Davies.Lynne@epa.gov>
Cc: Sullivan, Greg <Sullivan.Greg@epa.gov>; Theis, Joseph <Theis.Joseph@epa.gov>; Pollins, Mark <Pollins.Mark@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: DuPont follow-up

Lynne—

WV has issued a Statement of Basis for the Washington Works site. See http://www.dep.wv.gov/dlr/oer/superfund/Documents/DuPont_WW_Statement_Of_Basis_Apr_28_2015.pdf

So the state is addressing the site using its RCRA Corrective Action authority. The state's Statement of Basis proposes pumping the groundwater to ensure hydraulic containment.

Ex. 5 AC/AWP

Ex. 5 AC/AWP

Rosemarie